NUMERICAL METHODS-LECTURE XIII: A PRACTICAL DISCUSSION OF GMM/MSM

(or; How to Estimate a Structural Model)

Trevor Gallen

Fall 2015

INTRODUCTION

- We will play fast and loose with the term "GMM"
- Much of the time I just mean a "minimum distance" estimator
- That said, GMM is the best
- A way to "calibrate" or estimate your model
- Take your model seriously and have it interact with the data
- Don't have to target entire distribution: require your model to say something without saying everything

GMM

- First, forget everything you know.
- In most uses, GMM is making your fit look like the data, just like least squares
- Only difference is the moment conditions
- ► Let's do an extremely transparent example (!?)

EXAMPLE: SETUP

 Agents like Medicaid, private health insurance, and consumption

$$U_i(m_i, p_i, c_i) = \log(c_i) + \psi_{1,i}m_i + \psi_{2,i}p_i$$

Where they have the budget constraint:

$$Inc_i = c_i + P_p p_i + P_m m_i$$

And where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,i} \\ \psi_{2,i} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\psi_1}^2 & \sigma_{\psi_1\psi_2}^2 \\ \sigma_{\psi_1\psi_2}^2 & \sigma_{\psi_2}^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$Inc_i \sim \log \mathcal{N}(\mu_{Inc}, \sigma_{Inc}^2)$$

EXAMPLE: ESTIMATION ASSUMPTIONS

► Given
$$P_p$$
, P_m , μ_{ψ_1} , μ_{ψ_2} , $\sigma_{\psi_1}^2$, $\sigma_{\psi_2}^2$, $\sigma_{\psi_1\psi_2}^2$, μ_{Inc} , σ_{Inc}^2
 $U_i(m_i, p_i, c_i) = \log(c_i) + \psi_{1,i}m_i + \psi_{2,i}p_i$

Where they have the budget constraint:

$$Inc_i = c_i + P_p p_i + P_m m_i$$

And where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_{1,i} \\ \psi_{2,i} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\psi_1}^2 & \sigma_{\psi_1\psi_2}^2 \\ \sigma_{\psi_1\psi_2}^2 & \sigma_{\psi_2}^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$Inc_i \sim \log \mathcal{N}(\mu_{Inc}, \sigma_{Inc}^2)$$

EXAMPLE: ESTIMATION PROCEDURE

- 1. Extract moments from "true dataset."
- 2. Assume a set of parameters.
- 3. Simulate dataset.
- 4. Compare simulated parameters to true parameters.
- 5. Take weighted sum of squared differences.
- 6. With a new set of parameters, start back at 3
- 7. Continue until your simulated parameters are as close as can be

EXAMPLE: IDENTIFICATION

- It's worth thinking about how we identify preferences
- ► We'll identify based off behavior: what people actually do
- ► Moreover, we assume they maximize, so take FOC's/maximize

- In this case, maximize.
- Want to estimate ψ_1 , ψ_2 , ψ_3 , σ

EXAMPLE: ESTIMATION MOMENTS

- How do you choose moments?
- I choose (haphazardly):
 - 1. Proportion of households that have no insurance
 - 2. Proportion of households with only one medicaid contract
 - 3. Proportion of households with 1 medicaid contract that have at least one private insurance contract
 - 4. Average level of consumption (3)
 - 5. Standard deviation of consumption ()
 - 6. Conditional on having any insurance, mean consumption
 - 7. Conditional on having any insurance, standard deviation of consumption
 - 8. Proportion of households that have more than 5 contracts
 - 9. Correlation of m and p
 - 10. Correlation of m and c
 - 11. Correlation of c and p

EXAMPLE: ESTIMATION MOMENTS

The core of GMM here is, after creating the simulated population:

$$f(\Theta) = \begin{bmatrix} [length(find(best_m == 0\&best_p == 0))./num] \\ [length(find(best_m == 1))./num] \\ \vdots \\ [mean(best_c(find(best_m + best_p > 0)))] \end{bmatrix}$$

And, with moment(:,1) holding the simulated moments and moment(:,2) holding the targets,

$$error = sum((moment(:, 1) - moment(:, 2)).^2)$$

EXAMPLE: ESTIMATION MOMENTS

See Main.m and Estimation.m (and Data.m for the "true" data generating process!)

Okay...I think I get it(?)

Okay...I think I get it(?)



Okay...I think I get it(?)

- ► Do you?
- Also see a general equilibrium version of firm taxation in separate files Main.m and Estimator.m
- I still don't get the notation and the optimal part...

A LITTLE ON NOTATION

You normally see GMM written like:

$$\beta_{N} = \arg \beta \in \mathbb{P}g_{N}(\beta)' Wg_{N}(\beta)$$

- ► Where $g_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_t, \beta)$, where your moment condition is: $E_t(f(x_t, \beta)) = 0$
- Let *ME*₁ stand for "Moment error 1", then g_N(β)'Wg_N(β) is really just:

$$\begin{bmatrix} ME_1 \\ ME_2 \\ ME_3 \\ \vdots \\ ME_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ME_1 & ME_2 & ME_3 & \cdots & ME_r \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that because of how I wrote the weighting matrix, this is just the sum of squared errors:

$$ME_1^2 + ME_2^2 + ME_3^2 + ... + ME_r^2$$

A little on the best choice of W

- You'll note that some of my moment choices are pretty correlated
- With this weighting, you give one data concept multiple weights
- But let's now take the variance-covariance matrix of our moments:

$$V = \left(\begin{bmatrix} ME_1 \\ ME_2 \\ ME_3 \\ \cdots \\ ME_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ME_1 & ME_2 & ME_3 & \cdots & ME_r \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

(Or, with many data points the sample mean of the same thing) $% \left(\begin{array}{c} \left({{\rm{Or}}_{\rm{s}}} \right) \right) = \left({{\rm{CP}}_{\rm{s}}} \right) \left({{\rm{CP}}_{\rm{s}}} \right) \left({{\rm{CP}}_{\rm{s}}} \right) \left({{\rm{CP}}_{\rm{s}}} \right) \right) = \left({{\rm{CP}}_{\rm{s}}} \right) \left({{\rm{$

- Then use V as your new W
- What is this doing?

A little on the best choice of W

- This is just weighted least squares
- But more numerically, what V is getting at is the information in a given moment condition
- Every moment is saying something about your θ 's
- What the weighting matrix does is listens more to:
 - Moments that are more consistent (not a lot of noise)
 - \blacktriangleright Moments that move a lot for small deviations of θ
- That second is particularly interesting, and should a bit like the information matrix?
- When your model blows up with small changes in the parameter, it means the parameter is very precisely pinned down
- Good and bad, bad and good.
- From a practical standpoint, W = I with slight tweaks for moments of different absolute magnitude gets you pretty far

- Fundamentally, model uncertainty about parameters comes from sensitivity
- If changing a parameter a little doesn't harm your fit, then you don't really know what it is
- This may help the information matrix's relation to standard errors make sense to you!

- Fundamentally, model uncertainty about parameters comes from sensitivity
- If changing a parameter a little doesn't harm your fit, then you don't really know what it is
- This may help the information matrix's relation to standard errors make sense to you!
- It also makes clear that robustness checks are doing the same thing!

- Fundamentally, model uncertainty about parameters comes from sensitivity
- If changing a parameter a little doesn't harm your fit, then you don't really know what it is
- This may help the information matrix's relation to standard errors make sense to you!
- It also makes clear that robustness checks are doing the same thing!
- ▶ It's the *Change* in your score.

- Fundamentally, model uncertainty about parameters comes from sensitivity
- If changing a parameter a little doesn't harm your fit, then you don't really know what it is
- This may help the information matrix's relation to standard errors make sense to you!
- It also makes clear that robustness checks are doing the same thing!
- It's the Change in your score.
- For whatever reason, it's relatively rare to report standard errors when you don't touch microdata
- More typically, you see "calibration" and "robustness" rather than "estimation" and "standard errors."
- "Estimation is when you care about your standard errors."

SECOND, FIRM-SIZE MODEL

Many firms, with production function:

$$Y_i = A_i L_i^{\alpha}$$

And profit function:

$$\pi_i = Y_i - wL_i$$

Many households with labor supply FOC:

$$L = \frac{2w}{\psi + 0.002w^2}$$

Supply and demand must hold:

$$\sum_{h\in\{HH\}}L_h=\sum_{f\in\{F\}}L_F$$